

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/390,954                                  | 09/07/1999            | F. DEVON TAYLOR         | 112024-0051         | 7856             |
| 21186                                       | 7590 01/25/2005       |                         | EXAM                | INER             |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. |                       |                         | CUFF, MICHAEL A     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 29                                 |                       | •                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MINNEAPO                                    | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 |                         | 3627                | TALER NOMBER     |
|   |                       |                         |                     |                  |
|   |                       | DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/390,954  | TAYLOR ET AL.  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Michael Cuff  | 3627   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper reply to a chiples the application in                                   |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | the final rejection.<br>EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                                       |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens<br>37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened<br>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more<br>earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                                    | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in                                | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant? 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   | •   |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:   |  |
| (a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);   |
| (b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t   | below);   |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat  | erially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cancel   | ling a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.   |
| NOTE: New independent claim limitations require  | e further consideration and/or searc  | <u>.</u>   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ction(s):   |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | l be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely filed amendment  |
| 5 ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:   | or reconsideration has been cons  | sidered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |   |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | proved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   | Michael laff 1/21/05   |
|  |   | MICHAEL CUFF<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action**